Accessibility statement for Framework collections

This accessibility statement is relevant to all published AM products that are based on our Framework platform. These are:

- Grand Tour
- Slavery, Abolition and Social Justice

Websites for each collection are run by AM.

How accessible this website is

We acknowledge that most of the sites are non-accessible. We plan to resolve this by content migration into our new website platform by Q4 2022.

What to do if you cannot access parts of this website

If you need information on this website in a different format like accessible PDF

- Email: support@amdigital.co.uk
- Call 01672 511821
- www.amdigital.co.uk/contact

We’ll consider your request and get back to you in 7 working days.

Reporting accessibility problems with this website

We acknowledge that most of the sites are non-accessible. We plan to resolve this by content migration into our new website platform by Q4 2022.

If you find any problems not listed on this page or think we’re not meeting accessibility requirements, contact us via www.amdigital.co.uk/contact.

Enforcement procedure

The Equality and Human Rights Commission (EHRC) is responsible for enforcing the Public Sector Bodies (Websites and Mobile Applications) (No. 2) Accessibility Regulations 2018 (the ‘accessibility regulations’). If you’re not happy with how we respond to your complaint, contact the Equality Advisory and Support Service (EASS).
Technical information about this website’s accessibility

AM is committed to making its websites accessible, in accordance with the Public Sector Bodies (Websites and Mobile Applications) (No. 2) Accessibility Regulations 2018.

This platform is not compliant with the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines version 2.1 AA standard. The non-accessible sections are listed below.

Non accessible content

The content listed below is non-accessible for the following reasons. As majority of the site is non-accessible, only major and most critical issues are mentioned in this statement. Issues are sub-sectioned into different sections of the WCAG 2.1 guidelines. These can be found at https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG21/#sotd.

Non-compliance with the accessibility regulations

Perceivable (Information and user interface components must be presentable to users in ways they can perceive)

Alternative text missing for most images across the site. For example, images used on homepage, images in any contents pages such as nature and scope, any images in the image viewer etc. This fails WCAG 2.1 success criterion 1.1.1 (non-text content).

All webpage content is within nested tables in non-layout tables. This fails WCAG 2.1 success criterion 1.3.1 (info and relationships).

Insufficient contrast for many components across the site and there is no option for the user to view content under high contrast mode. This issue fails WCAG 2.1 success criterion 1.4.3 (Contrast minimum).

Images of text is used across the site. For Example, ‘Introduction’, ‘Documents’, ‘Search links’ in the homepage, Items in the main navigation bar, titles of pages (i.e. nature and scope), Side navigation items etc. This issue fails WCAG 2.1 success criterion 1.4.5 (images of texts).

Across all the sites, content does not reflow. This fails against WCAG 2.1 success criterion 1.4.10 (Reflow).

Operable (User interface components and navigation must be operable)

Some components across the site cannot be focused on or used via a keyboard across the site. This fails WCAG 2.1 success criterion 2.1.1 (Keyboard).

Website have no means to bypass repeated blocks. This fails WCAG 2.1 success criterion 2.4.1 (By-pass blocks).
Most links used across the websites have missing text. This issue fails WCAG 2.1 success criterion 2.4.4 (Link purpose).

All webpages are missing at least one main landmark. This issue fails WCAG 2.1 success criterion 2.4.6 (Headings and Labels).

Webpages are missing headings in heading tags and if tags are present, they are left empty. This issue fails WCAG 2.1 success criterion 2.4.6 (Headings and Labels).

**Understandable** *(Information and the operation of user interface must be understandable)*

HTML code for all web pages are missing `<lang>` attributes. This issue fails WCAG 2.1 success criterion 3.1.1 (Language of page).

Form elements missing labels. This issue fails WCAG 2.1 success criterion 3.3.2 (Labels or instructions).

We plan to rectify all these issues mentioned above through a content migration into the new Quartex platform by Q4 2022.

**Disproportionate burden**

We are committed to resolving the accessibility issues highlighted in this statement, but doing so within this legacy platform can be considered as part of disproportionate burden to fix compared to migrating content to a new platform which we plan to complete by Q4 2022.

**How we tested this website**

This platform was last tested on 09/12/2019. The test was carried out by in-house QA Analyst.

The Perdita Manuscripts collection was chosen as the base means of the audit.

We tested:

All our collections can be viewed at [https://www.amdigital.co.uk/products](https://www.amdigital.co.uk/products)

Audited sites can be viewed at [http://www.perditamanuscripts.amdigital.co.uk](http://www.perditamanuscripts.amdigital.co.uk)

**What we’re doing to improve accessibility**

We plan to improve accessibility of all our Framework collections by systematic product migration into new website platform by Q4 2022.

This statement was prepared on 19/03/2021 and last updated on 13/12/2022.